

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.enplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,096	05/31/2006	Yuji Yamada	290541US8PCT	5377
22859 7590 05/14/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			MONIKANG, GEORGE C	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/581,096	YAMADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GEORGE MONIKANG	2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

Status

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with or play that the set or extended period for reply with or play that the set of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Play with the set of the set of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustments. Set 37 CFR 1.74(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 March 2010.
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-17 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1.2.4.5 and 7-17</u> is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/581,096.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: .

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/581,096 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/22/2010, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-2, 4-5, 7-17 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Rhee. US Patent 5805715.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-9 & 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eberbach, US Patent 4885782, in view of Fujita et al US Patent 5812685, and further in view of Rhee, US Patent 5805715.

Art Unit: 2614

Re Claim 1, Ebarbach discloses an audio signal processing apparatus adapted for delivering an audio signal to a speaker system, comprising; a frequency dividing filter configured to output portions of a preprocessed audio signal input thereto as separate frequency components (Eberbach, fig. 2: col. 3, lines 34-49); at least two drive units which are divided or separated by frequency band, configured to receive the separate frequency components output from the frequency dividing filter (Eberbach, fig. 2; col. 3. lines 34-49); but fails to disclose a FIR filter configured to generate process the preprocessed input audio signal preprocessing an input signal on the basis of an inverse correction characteristic corresponding to an overall impulse response of the speaker system, the input audio signal being preprocessed to compensate for a shift between phases of respective sound waves radiated from respective drive surfaces of the at least two drive units of the speaker system, the shift being caused by relative physical locations of the respective drive surfaces. However, Fujita discloses a speaker system with a FIR filter that preprocesses the input audio signals by utilizing a coefficient of inverse correction of speaker responses within a speaker array that includes phase response and distortion of frequency (Fuiita et al. fig. 4: 6; col. 6, lines 26-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to preprocess the input signals of Eberbach with a FIR filter as taught in Fujita et al (Fujita et al, fig. 4: 6; col. 6, lines 26-37), thus preprocessing the phase delay and creating a more efficient phase compensation between the speakers in the housing. However, the combined teachings of Eberbach and Fujita et al fail to disclose a first filter configured to filter at least one input signal to generate the filtered signal, the first filter supplying the filtered signal to

Application/Control Number: 10/581,096

Art Unit: 2614

the FIR filter, the first filter having transmission characteristics to localize a sound image at arbitrary positions. The Rhee reference discloses a FIR filter with equalization capabilities that is capable performing frequency equalization, thus optimizing the sound for a listener (*Rhee, fig. 3: 43 & 53; col. 5, line 58 through col. 6, line 11; col. 2, lines 11-19; col. 3, lines 51-55: the equalization is performed to optimize the sound for a user's ear, therefore, the system can be localized at a user's ear position) and of reducing distortion in a speaker system (<i>Rhee, col. 2, lines 22-27*). It would have been the designer's preference to incorporate the FIR filter of Rhee into two filters, one to perform the equalization processing and the other for performing the speaker distortion processing, thus giving the user of the system more control of how the processing is carried out and incorporating both filters into the Fujita system such that the Fujita system could improve the overall Eberbach system by optimizing sounds at the listener positions (localization of sounds at arbitrary positions).

Re Claim 2, the combined teachings of Eberbach, Fujita et al and Rhee disclose the audio signal processing apparatus as set forth in claim 1, wherein the at least two drive units include a drive unit for reproducing a signal at a high frequency band and a drive unit for reproducing a signal at a low frequency band (*Eberbach, fig. 2; col. 3, lines* 34-49) and are coaxially disposed with respect to acoustic center (*Eberbach, fig. 1; col. 3, lines* 22-33).

Claim 4 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 1.

Re Claim 5, the combined teachings of Eberbach, Fujita et al and Rhee disclose the audio signal processing apparatus as set forth in claim 4, wherein transmission

Application/Control Number: 10/581,096

Art Unit: 2614

characteristic of the first filter is a frequency characteristic in which group delay characteristic is constant (*Fujita et al, fig. 4: 6; col. 6, lines 26-37: FIR filter comprises* group delays that can be made constant).

Claims 7 & 8 have been analyzed and rejected according to claim 1.

Re Claim 9, the combined teachings of Eberbach, Fujita et al and Rhee disclose the audio signal processing apparatus as set forth in claim 8, wherein the electro-acoustic transducer is a speaker system (Fujita et al, fig. 4: 6; col. 6, lines 26-37).

Re Claim 12, Eberbach, Fujita et al and Rhee disclose the audio signal processing apparatus as set forth in claim 8, where the FIR filter is an adding unit (*Fujita* et al, fig. 4: 6).

Claim 13, the combined teachings of Eberbach, Fujita et al and Rhee disclose the audio signal processing apparatus as set forth in claim 8, wherein the electro-acoustic transducer is an audio amplifier (Fujita et al. fig. 4: 6, 11; col. 6, lines 26-37).

1. Claim 14, Eberbach, Fujita et al and Rhee disclose the audio signal processing apparatus as set forth in claim 4, with wherein the first filter means adds, to the input audio signal, an impulse response characteristic which has been selectively switched among impulse response characteristics of plural kinds of electro-acoustic transducers I (Fujita et al., fig. 4: 6, 11; col. 6, lines 26-37; coefficients associated with the FIR filter are stored in memory were they are selectively utilized for phase compensations).

Claim 15 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 4.

Claim 16 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 1.

Claim 17 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,096

Art Unit: 2614

 Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Eberbach, US Patent 4885782, Fujita et al, US Patent 5812685 and Rhee, US Patent 5805715as applied to claim 8 above, in view of Packard, US Patent 7035417 B1.

Re Claim 10, Eberbach, Fujita et al and Rhee disclose the audio signal processing apparatus as set forth in claim 8, but fail to disclose where an electro-acoustic transducer is a record needle as taught in Packard (*Packard. col. 10. lines 1-17*). It would have been obvious to modify the audio signal processing apparatus with a record needle as taught in Packard (*Packard. col. 10. lines 1-17*) for the purpose of implementing the system with record players.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Eberbach, US Patent 4885782, Fujita et al, US Patent 5812685 and Rhee, US Patent
 5805715 as applied to claim 8 above, in view of Hirade et al, US Patent 7119267 B2.

Re Claim 11, Eberbach, Fujita et al and Rhee disclose the audio signal processing apparatus as set forth in claim 8, but fail to disclose where an electro-acoustic transducer is a record recording/reproducing device as taught in Hirade et al (<u>Hirade et al. col. 2, lines 41-52</u>). It would have been obvious to modify the audio signal processing apparatus of Eberbach, Fujita et al and Rhee with the recording/reproducing device of Hirade et al for the purpose of implementing the system with CD/portable players.

Art Unit: 2614

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GEORGE MONIKANG whose telephone number is (571)270-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00 EST Monday-Friday, Alt Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian C. Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/GEORGE MONIKANG/ Examiner, Art Unit 2614 5/4/2010

/Vivian Chin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614